	Case 2:08-cv-00492-RSM	Document 5 Fi	iled 04/18/08	Page 1 of 2
01				
02				
03				
04				
05				
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
07				
08	MANUEL RAMIREZ,	) CASE	NO. C08-0492-	RSM
09	Petitioner,	)		
10	V.	) REPOR	RT AND RECO	MMENDATION
11	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,	)		
12	Respondent.	)		
13	Kespondent.	)		
14	Petitioner is a state prisoner who is currently incarcerated at the Washington Corrections			
15	Center in Shelton, Washington. He has submitted to this Court for review a petition for writ of			
16	habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. He appears to allege in his petition that he was not			
17	awarded appropriate credit for time served, and that his right to represent himself and his right to			
18	a speedy trial were violated during criminal proceedings before the King County Superior Court.			
19	The Ninth Circuit has made clear that § 2254 is the exclusive avenue for a state prisoner			
20	to challenge the constitutionality of his detention when the prisoner is in custody pursuant to a			
21	state court judgment White v. Lambert, 370 F.3d 1002, 1007 (9th Cir. 2004). Because petitioner			
22	alleges in his petition that his constitutional rights were violated during state court criminal			
	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -1	1		

## Case 2:08-cv-00492-RSM Document 5 Filed 04/18/08 Page 2 of 2

proceedings which apparently resulted in his current incarceration in the Washington Department of Corrections, his petition must be construed as one brought pursuant to § 2254. And because it appears from the face of the petition that petitioner has not yet exhausted any of his federal 04 habeas claims in the state courts, his claims are not eligible for review in this federal habeas proceeding. See Rose v. Lundy, 455 U.S. 509 (1982); 28 U.S.C. §2254(b), (c). Accordingly, this Court recommends that petitioner's federal habeas petition be dismissed without prejudice. This Court further recommends that petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis be denied as moot. A proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.

DATED this 17th day of April, 2008.

10

11

02

03

05

06

08

09

Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION PAGE -2